IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION No 520 of 1993

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

VANAD PRAKASHKUMAR SHAMJIBHAI

Versus

VANAND MADHUBEN MAGANLAL

Appearance:

MS SEJAL K MANDAVIA for Petitioner

MR Tirmizi for Mr PM THAKKAR for Respondent No. 1

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR Date of decision: 25/02/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

It is agreed by both the learned Advocates for the parties that the petitioner and the non-petitioner No.1 have taken mutual divorce. As per condition No.5 of the agreement, respondent No.1 will not be seeking maintenance. In view of this, Mr Tirmizi, learned Advocate appearing for respondent No.1 Vanand Madhuben

Maganlal submits that he wants to withdraw application filed before the trial court under section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Permission granted. As the application under section 125 itself has been withdrawn, the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Mangrol dated 16.10.1993 is quashed. Xerox copy of the agreement produced by the parties may be taken on record.

In view of the aforesaid, this Revision

Application is disposed of. Rule made absolute to the aforesaid extent.

. . .